

# 1A Analysis Example Sheet 2

zc231

**IVT stands for intermediate value theorem and MVT stands for mean value theorem.**

1\*  $f$  is continuous at  $\frac{1}{2}$ . If  $h$  is rational,  $f(\frac{1}{2} + h) - f(\frac{1}{2}) = h$  and if  $h$  is irrational then  $f(\frac{1}{2} + h) - f(\frac{1}{2}) = -h$  and thus for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , pick  $\delta = \epsilon$  so that for all  $|h| < \delta$ ,  $|f(\frac{1}{2} + h) - f(\frac{1}{2})| = h < \epsilon$  and so it is continuous at  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

$f$  is not continuous at any other point. Let  $a \neq \frac{1}{2}$ . Suppose  $a$  is rational. Let  $|1 - 2a| = r$ , then there exists  $\epsilon = \frac{r}{2}$ , such that for all  $\delta > 0$ , pick  $|h|$  small enough (say  $|h| < r/2$ ) and  $h$  irrational, then  $|f(a + h) - f(a)| = |1 - 2a - h| > \frac{r}{2} = \epsilon$ . Similarly if  $a$  is irrational, we repeat the above but pick  $h$  with  $a + h$  rational in the last step.

1. Suppose  $a_n \rightarrow a$  and if  $a_n = a$  for all  $a$  then clearly  $b_n = a$  for all  $a$  and so  $a_n = b_n$ . Suppose  $a_n$  is not constant. If  $(a_n), (b_n)$  are different pick the least  $n_1$  such that  $a_{n_1} \neq b_{n_1}$  then by assumption we have  $n_1 < n_2 \leq n_3 < n_4 \dots$  with

$$a_{n_1} = b_{n_2} = a_{n_3} = b_{n_4} = \dots$$

and the terms  $a_{n_1}, a_{n_3}, \dots$  and so  $a_{n_i} - a$  is fixed so  $a_n$  cannot tend to  $a$  which is a contradiction.

2\* Suppose  $f(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Given any sequence  $x_n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have for all  $K > 0$ , there exists  $M$  such that  $f(x) > K$  for all  $x > M$  and for each such  $M$ , there exists  $N$  such that  $x_n > M$  for all  $n > N$  and thus  $f(x_n) > K$ .

Conversely, suppose  $f(x) \not\rightarrow \infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , this is saying there exists  $K > 0$  such that for all  $M > 0$  there exists  $x > M$  such that  $f(x) < K$ . Set  $M = 1, 2, \dots$  and so we have a sequence  $(x_m)_m$  with  $x_m > m$  but  $f(x_m) < K$ . Clearly the condition  $x_m > m$  implies that  $x_m \rightarrow \infty$  but  $f(x_m) < K$  so  $f(x_m) \not\rightarrow \infty$  so we have a sequence  $x_m \rightarrow \infty$  but  $f(x_m) \not\rightarrow \infty$ .

2.  $H$  is not continuous at 0. Take  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{4}$  and so for all  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $h$  such that  $|H(h) - H(0)| > \frac{1}{4}$  (either  $H(0) > \frac{1}{2}$  or  $H(0) \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and this holds for both cases). Similarly  $H(0) \neq 1$  or  $H(0) \neq 0$ . Assume  $H(0) \neq 0$  then take a sequence  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  from the left and then  $H(x_n) = 0$  for all  $n$  but  $0 \not\rightarrow H(0)$ .

3. Let  $f(x) = |x|$  and so  $f(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $g(x) = 1$  if  $x \geq 0$  and 0 if  $x < 0$ , then  $g(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$  (from the left). Then  $g(f(x)) = g(|x|) = 1$  for all  $x$  (as  $|x| \geq 0$ ) and so  $g(f(x)) \not\rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$ .

4. For each  $n$ ,  $h_{n+1}(x) = \max\{h_n(x), f_{n+1}(x)\}$ .  $h_1(x)$  is continuous. Suppose  $h_n(x)$  is continuous, then

$$h_{n+1}(x) = \max\{h_n(x), f_{n+1}(x)\} = \frac{h_n(x) + f_{n+1}(x)}{2} + \frac{|h_n(x) - f_{n+1}(x)|}{2}.$$

As the sum and difference of continuous functions are again continuous and if  $f(x)$  is continuous, so is  $|f(x)|$  then we conclude that  $h_{n+1}(x)$  is continuous. Thus by induction  $h_n(x)$  is continuous for all  $x$ .

No. Consider  $f_n(x) = 2^n x$  for  $x \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$  and  $f_n(x) = 1$  for  $x > \frac{1}{2^n}$ . Then it is clear that each  $f_n$  is continuous. Now  $h(0) = 0$  and  $h(x) = 1$  for  $x > 0$  which is not continuous.

5. If  $g(0) = 0$  or  $g(1) = 1$  then we are done, otherwise let  $f(x) = g(x) - x$  which is continuous. By assumption  $g(0) > 0$  and  $g(1) < 1$  so  $f(0) > 0$  and  $f(1) < 0$  so by IVT there exists  $c$  such that  $f(c) = 0$  which is the same as  $g(c) = c$ .

Let  $h(0) = 1$  and  $h(1) = 0$  and  $h(x) = x^2$  for  $0 < x < 1$  then clearly it is bijective on  $[0, 1]$  but  $h(x)$  has no fixed point as  $x^2 = x$  implies  $x = 0$  or  $1$ .

Let  $p(x) = x^2$  for  $x \in (0, 1)$  then clearly this is continuous but  $p(x) \neq x$  for all  $x \in (0, 1)$ .

- 6\* Define  $g$  on  $[0, \theta]$  by  $g(\theta) = f(\theta) - f(\pi + \theta)$  then  $g$  is continuous. Then the points  $e^{i\theta}$  and  $e^{i(\pi+\theta)}$  are diametrically opposite.  $g(0) = f(0) - f(\pi)$  and  $g(\pi) = f(\pi) - f(2\pi)$ . Since  $f(0) = f(2\pi)$  we have  $g(\pi) = -g(0)$ . Thus, either  $g(0) = g(\pi) = 0$  in which case we have  $f(0) = f(\pi)$  or  $g(0), g(\pi)$  have opposite sign in which case we apply IVT so that there exists  $c$  such that  $g(c) = 0$  and so  $f(c) = f(\pi + c)$ .

6. Let  $f(x) = 2x^5 + 3x^4 + 2x + 16$  then  $f'(x) = 2(5x^4 + 6x^3 + 1) = 2(x + 1)(5x^3 + x^2 - x + 1)$ . Let  $g(x) = 5x^3 + x^2 - x + 1$ . We want to determine the local maximum and minimum of  $f$  so we consider the point at which  $g$  vanishes.  $g'(x) = 2(3x + 1)(5x - 1)$  and by considering  $g''(x)$  we see  $g$  has a local maximum at  $-1/3$  and local minimum at  $1/5$  and  $g(-1/3), g(1/5)$  are both positive which means  $g(x)$  only has one real root say  $t$ . Further,  $g(-1) = -2 < 0$  and  $g(0) > 0$  so  $t \in (-1, 0)$  and therefore  $f'(x)$  has two real roots. Then by considering  $f''(x)$  or the fact  $f(x) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$  we see that  $-1$  is a local maximum and  $t$  is a local minimum. Finally, as  $t > -1$ , so if  $-1 < t < 0$  then

$$f(t) = 2t^5 + 3t^4 + 2t + 16 > -2 + 0 - 2 + 16 > 0$$

and if  $t > 0$  then clearly  $f(t) > 0$  so we conclude  $f(x) > 0$  for all  $x > -1$ . Now since  $f(-1) > 0, f(-2) < 0$  so there is a real root in  $[-2, -1]$  and it is the only one because if there is another one then one would have another local maximum or minimum. To see this, suppose  $f(z_1) = f(z_2) = 0$  then by MVT there is a point between  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  at which the derivative of  $f$  vanishes.

7. As  $x = 0, y = 0$  is a solution and if  $(x, y)$  is a solution, so is  $(-x, -y)$  so we consider the solutions with  $x > 0$ . It is the same as finding  $x$  with  $x = \sin(6\pi \sin(6\pi x))$  because for each  $x$  satisfying the above relation we take  $y = \sin(6\pi x)$  and conversely if  $y = \sin(6\pi x), x = \sin(6\pi y)$  then  $x = \sin(6\pi \sin(6\pi x))$ . Write  $g(x) = \sin(6\pi \sin(6\pi x))$  and  $f(x) = g(x) - x$ .

For each  $0 < x < \frac{1}{12}$ ,  $\sin(6\pi x)$  is monotonic and we obtain 3 cycles in this range for  $\sin(6\pi \sin(6\pi x))$ . Consider take  $t_1$  to be the first point such that  $g(t_1) = 1$ . We claim that there is no solution in  $(0, t_1)$ . We know  $f(0) = 0$  and by direct computation

$$f'(x) = 36 \cos(6\pi \sin(6\pi x)) \cos(6\pi x) - 1$$

which is monotonically decreasing on  $[0, t_1]$  and therefore  $f'(x)$  has at most one zero and as  $f'(0) > 0$  and  $f'(t_1) = -1 < 0$  so  $f'$  has a zero and as  $f'$  is decreasing  $f'' \leq 0$  (you might need question 10) and so the point at which  $f' = 0$  is a local maximum and hence the minimum of  $f$  is either  $f(0)$  or  $f(t_1)$  and as  $f(t_1) > 0$  so we conclude that  $f$  has no zero in  $(0, t_1)$ .

Then we consider  $t_2$  which is the smallest number bigger than 0 such that  $g(t_2) = 0$ . Then clearly by IVT on  $(t_1, t_2)$  we have a solution for  $f = 0$ . Similarly then consider  $t_3$  which is

the next point at which  $g = 0$  and  $t_4$  which is the next point at which  $g = 1$  then there is a solution for  $f = 0$ . Repeat this argument and we have 5 solutions in  $(0, \frac{1}{12})$ . Then repeat this argument for the intervals  $(\frac{1}{12}, \frac{2}{12}), \dots, (\frac{11}{12}, 1)$  we have 6 solutions in each of these intervals and hence we have 71 solutions in total for  $x > 0$  and so we have 142 solutions in total for  $x \neq 0$ .

8\* Suppose  $f$  is not continuous at some  $t \in (a, b)$  and we prove  $f$  is bounded (hence a contradiction). There exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for all  $\delta > 0$  there exists  $h$  with  $|h| < \delta$  such that

$$|f(t+h) - f(t)| > \epsilon.$$

Without loss of generality we assume  $f(t+h) - f(t) > \epsilon$  (the other case when  $f(t+h) - f(t) < -\epsilon$  is similar). Then let  $x = t + 2h, y = t$  so that  $(x+y)/2 = t+h$ , by assumption we have

$$\epsilon < f(t+h) - f(t) < f(t+2h) - f(t+h)$$

and hence  $f(t+2h) > f(t+h) + \epsilon > f(t) + 2\epsilon$ . Then set  $x = t + 3h, y = t + h$  etc. we prove inductively that  $f(t+nh) > f(t+(n-1)h) + \epsilon$  whenever  $t+nh \in (a, b)$ . To see this, suppose this is true for  $n$  then set  $x = t + (n+1)h, y = t + (n-1)h$  then

$$\epsilon < f(t+nh) - f(t+(n-1)h) < f(t+(n+1)h) - f(t+nh).$$

Therefore, we have  $f(t+nh) > f(t) + n\epsilon$  for all  $n$  with  $t+nh \in (a, b)$ .

For all  $M > 0$ , pick  $n$  so that  $f(t) + n\epsilon > M$  then we just need to pick  $\delta$  carefully so that  $t+n\delta \in (a, b)$  and so  $t+nh \in (a, b)$  (note  $n, \epsilon$  are independent of the choice of  $\delta$ ), and this gives  $f(t+nh) > M$ , which shows  $f$  is unbounded.

$f$  does not need to be continuous at the endpoints. For example, consider  $f$  defined on  $[0, 1]$  by  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(x) = 1$  for  $x \in (0, 1]$  then it is bounded and clearly  $f((x+y)/2) = f(x)/2 + f(y)/2$  for all  $(x, y) \in (0, 1)$  but  $f$  is not continuous at 0.

8. Since  $f$  is continuous on  $[0, 1]$  so it attains its maximum and minimum, say  $m$  and  $n$ . Suppose  $m \neq n$  (hence not both zero), and without loss of generality, say  $m \neq 0$ . Then consider the set

$$\{x \in [0, 1] : f(x) = m\}.$$

This is a non-empty set of real and hence it has a least upper bound,  $K$ . By definition we have a sequence  $x_n \rightarrow K$ , with  $f(x_n) = m$  and since  $f$  is continuous, we have  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(K)$  so  $f(K) = m$ . Now if  $K \neq 1$  then there exists  $\delta$  such that  $f(K) = (f(K-\delta) + f(K+\delta))/2$  but clearly,  $f(K+\delta) < m$  and  $f(K-\delta) \leq m$  which gives a contradiction and so  $K = 1$ . Finally, as  $f(K) = m = f(1) = 0$  so we must have  $m = 0$  which contradicts our assumption that  $m \neq n$ . Therefore,  $m = n$  and  $f$  is constant so  $f \equiv 0$ .

9. Let  $x = \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$  then take  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2q}$  so for any  $\delta > 0$ , pick  $h$  small enough that  $x+h$  is irrational (which is always possible) then we have  $|f(x+h) - f(x)| = \frac{1}{q} > \epsilon$ . Now let  $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . Then for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n$  with  $\frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$ .

We consider the set of rational numbers around  $x$  with denominator less than  $n$ . If  $\frac{p}{q} \in (x-\delta, x+\delta)$  then we have

$$qx - q\delta < p < qx + q\delta$$

and so in particular if  $\delta < \frac{1}{2q}$  then the length of the interval  $(qx - q\delta, qx + q\delta)$  is less than 1 and so we cannot pick any  $p$  in this interval and also for any  $q' < q$  the length of the interval

is smaller so we conclude that there exists no rational number with denominator less than or equal to  $q$  in  $(x - \delta, x + \delta)$ . So now pick  $\delta < \frac{1}{2n}$  so each rational number  $\frac{p}{q}$  in the interval has denominator bigger than  $n$  so  $f(\frac{p}{q}) < \frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$ . So for all  $|h| < \delta$  we have  $|f(x+h) - f(x)| < \epsilon$  and so  $f$  is continuous at  $x$ .

10. (i) True.  $f$  is differentiable everywhere so we can compute  $f'(x)$  by using the right limit, i.e.  $f'(x) = \lim_{h>0, h \rightarrow 0} (f(x+h) - f(x))/h$ . Since  $f$  is increasing so  $f(x+h) - f(x) \geq 0$  and hence  $f'(x) \geq 0$ .
- (ii) True. Suppose  $f$  is not increasing then there exists  $x \in (a, b)$  and  $h > 0$  (with  $x+h \in (a, b)$ ) such that  $f(x+h) - f(x) < 0$ . By MVT,  $f(x+h) - f(x) = hf'(z)$  for some  $z \in (x, x+h)$  and since  $f'(z) \geq 0$  so  $f(x+h) - f(x) \geq 0$  which is a contradiction.
- (iii) False. Consider  $f(x) = x^4$  on  $[0, 1]$ .
- (iv) True, by using the same argument as in (ii).

- 11\* (i)  $f''(t)$  is the derivative of  $f'(t)$  and so by previous question we know  $f'(t)$  is increasing. Since  $f'(0) > 0$  so  $f'(t) > 0$  for all  $t$  and hence  $f$  is strictly increasing. Therefore,  $f(t) > 0$  for all  $t > 0$ .

Now if  $f'(0) \geq 0$  then again by  $f''(t) \geq 0$  we conclude that  $f'(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t > 0$  therefore,  $f(t)$  is increasing and hence  $f(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t$ . If  $f(x) > 0$  at some  $x \in (0, 1)$ , then by MVT there exists  $z \in (x, 1)$  such that  $f(x) - f(1) = (x-1)f'(z)$  and so  $f'(z) < 0$  which is a contradiction. Hence  $f(t) = 0$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Finally if  $f'(1) \leq 0$ . Let  $g(t) = f(1-t)$  then  $g'(t) = -f'(1-t)$  and so  $g'(0) = -f'(1) \geq 0$ . Further,  $g''(t) = f''(1-t) \geq 0$  and  $g(0) = f(1) = f(0) = g(1)$ . Thus by previous part we conclude  $g$  is constant and so is  $f$ .

- (ii) Suppose  $f(x) > 0$  for some  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Then by MVT, there exist  $p, q \in [0, 1]$  with  $p \in (0, x)$  and  $q \in (x, 1)$  such that

$$f(x) - f(0) = xf'(p), f(1) - f(x) = (1-x)f'(q).$$

Since  $f(0) = f(1) = 0$  so we conclude that  $f'(p) > 0$  and  $f'(q) < 0$ . Now apply MVT on the function  $f'(t)$  so there exists  $r \in (p, q)$  such that

$$f'(q) - f'(p) = (q-p)f''(r).$$

As  $f'(p) > 0, f'(q) < 0, q > p$  we conclude that  $f''(r) < 0$  which is a contradiction.

- (a) A direct computation shows  $f(0) = f(1) = 0$ . Also,

$$f''(t) = (b-a)^2 g''((1-t)a + tb) \geq 0$$

as  $g''(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in [a, b]$ . Therefore, by (ii)  $f(t) \leq 0$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  which yields the desired inequality.

11. (i) By definition

$$g''(0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g'(h) - g'(0)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g'(h)}{h} > 0$$

So there exists a small interval  $(0, \delta)$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , such that for all  $0 < h < \delta$ ,  $g'(h) > 0$  because if not then we have a sequence  $h_n \rightarrow 0$  from the right with  $g'(h_n) \leq 0$  then the limit will be non-positive and then take  $x \in (0, \delta)$  then we have, by MVT, that

$$g(x) = g(x) - g(0) = xg'(z) > 0, z \in (0, x) \subset (0, \delta).$$

(ii) Let  $g(x) = f(2x) - 2f(x)$  then  $g(0) = 0$  and  $g'(0) = 2f'(0) - 2f'(0) = 0$  and

$$g''(0) = 4f''(0) - 2f''(0) = 2f''(0) > 0$$

so we apply (i) and conclude that  $g(x) > 0$  for some  $x$ , so  $f(2x) > 2f(x)$ .

12. Let  $g(x) = f(x) - lx$  then  $g(x)$  is differentiable for all  $x$  and  $g'(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . To show  $\frac{f(x)}{x} \rightarrow l$ , it suffices to show  $\frac{g(x)}{x} \rightarrow 0$ . For all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $Y$  such that for all  $x > Y$ ,  $|g'(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Now for each  $N > Y$ , using MVT we have

$$g(N) - g(Y) = (N - Y)g'(z), \text{ for some } z \in (Y, N).$$

Then  $|g(N) - g(Y)| < \frac{(N-Y)\epsilon}{2}$  and by triangle inequality we have

$$|g(N)| < |g(Y)| + \frac{(N - Y)\epsilon}{2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \frac{g(N)}{N} \right| < \left| \frac{g(Y)}{N} \right| + \frac{(N - Y)\epsilon}{2N}.$$

As  $Y$  is fixed we write  $|g(Y)| = M$ , and so if we pick  $N > \frac{2M}{\epsilon} - Y$  then the right hand side of the above is less than  $\epsilon$ . This proves  $\frac{g(x)}{x} \rightarrow 0$ .

The converse is not true. For example, let  $f(x) = \sin x$  then  $f(x)/x \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  but  $f'(x) = \cos x$  does not tend to a limit.

13. Let  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}$  be the quotient map so basically this is the natural quotient map of abelian groups, so  $g(x) = 0$  if  $x$  is rational and  $g(x) = x \bmod \mathbb{Q}$  if  $x$  is irrational which means  $g(x) = g(y)$  if and only if  $x - y \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then as  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable and  $\mathbb{R}$  is uncountable so the quotient must again be uncountable and is bijective to  $\mathbb{R}$  so let  $h : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bijection. Let  $f = hg$ . Then for all  $a < b$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , take  $y$  with  $h(y) = t$  and we want some  $x \in (a, b)$  with  $g(x) = y$ . As  $g(x) = g(x - q)$  for any rational  $q$  then we just take a suitable  $q$  such that  $x - q \in (a, b)$ .

Alternatively, we consider the density function. As  $\mathbb{R}$  is bijective to  $(0, 1)$  so it suffices to construct a function define on  $(0, 1)$  such that for each  $(a, b) \subset (0, 1)$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$  we have  $x \in (a, b)$  with  $f(x) = t$ . For each  $x \in (0, 1)$  we take the decimal expansion of  $x = 0.a_1a_2\dots$  and let  $S_n$  be the number of  $a_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  such that  $a_i = 1$  and define  $b_n = \frac{S_n}{n}$ . Define  $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n$  if the limit exists and if not we define  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Then clearly  $f$  satisfies the condition because each real number is a limit of a rational sequence for example, if  $\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  and let  $c_n$  be a rational sequence tending to  $\alpha$  and so we can construct a sequence  $b_n$  corresponding to some decimal expansion, and suppose this number is not in  $(a, b)$  then we move it to  $(a, b)$  by adding or subtracting some digits and this will not change the limit.

14. Suppose  $f$  is discontinuous at some point  $x$ . Then there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for all  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $|h| < \delta$  such that

$$|f(x + h) - f(x)| > \epsilon.$$

Since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$  so there exists  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$  with  $f(x) - \epsilon < r_1 < f(x) < r_2 < f(x) + \epsilon$ .

Now let  $\delta_n = \frac{1}{n}$  and we obtain a corresponding  $h_n$  for each  $\delta_n$  such that  $|f(x + h_n) - f(x)| > \epsilon$ . Then either  $f(x + h_n) - f(x) > \epsilon$  in which case  $f(x + h_n) > r_2$  or  $f(x + h_n) - f(x) < -\epsilon$  in which case  $f(x + h_n) < r_1$ .

Thus, by the intermediate property, for each  $n$  we obtain a point  $x_n$  which lies between  $x$  and  $x + h_n$  with either  $f(x_n) = r_1$  or  $f(x_n) = r_2$ . As  $x_n \rightarrow x$  so any subsequence of  $x_n$  will converge to  $x$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that there is a subsequence of  $h_n$ , say  $h_{j(n)}$  such that the corresponding  $x_{j(n)}$  has the property  $f(x_{j(n)}) = r_1$  (as there are only 2 values to pick so one of them must appear infinitely many times). Then  $x_{j(n)} \rightarrow x$  but  $f(x) \neq r_1$  which contradicts the fact that  $S_{r_1}$  is closed.