

# PartII Galois Theory 1

zc231

1.  $x^4 - 10x^2 + 1 = 0$ .

2.  $[L : F][F : K] = [L : K]$  and since  $[L : K]$  is a prime so either  $[L : F] = 1$  or  $[F : K] = 1$  so  $L = F$  or  $F = K$ .

3. If  $\text{Char}(K) \neq 2$  then one can complete the square for any quadratic polynomial and so  $L = K(x)$  for some  $x \in L$  with  $x^2 \in K$ . Suppose  $\text{Char}(K) = 2$  then take  $x \notin K$  with  $x \in L$ . Then we have  $x^2 = ax + b$  for some  $a, b \in K$ . Either  $a = 0$  in which case we have  $x^2 = b \in K$  or  $a \neq 0$  in which case  $a^{-1}$  exists in  $K$ . Then

$$a^{-2}x^2 = a^{-1}x + a^{-2}b$$

and since  $\text{Char}(K) = 2$  so  $a^{-1}x = -a^{-1}x$  so  $a^{-2}x^2 + a^{-1}x = a^{-2}b \in K$ . Take  $y = a^{-1}x$  so  $y^2 + y = a^{-2}b \in K$ .

4. It is clear that  $K(x^2) \subset K(x)$  and by tower law we have

$$[K(x) : K] = [K(x) : K(x^2)][K(x^2) : K]$$

and  $[K(x) : K(x^2)] = 1$  or  $2$ . But if it is  $2$  then  $[K(x) : K]$  is even which is a contradiction so  $K(x) = K(x^2)$ .

5. If  $\alpha, \beta$  are algebraic then

$$[K(\alpha, \beta) : K] = [K(\alpha, \beta) : K(\alpha)][K(\alpha) : K] \leq [K(\beta) : K][K(\alpha) : K] < \infty$$

and so

$$[K(\alpha + \beta) : K], [K(\alpha\beta) : K] \leq [K(\alpha, \beta) : K] < \infty$$

and so they are algebraic because every finite extension is algebraic. Conversely, suppose  $a = \alpha + \beta, b = \alpha\beta$  are both algebraic then  $L = K(a, b)$  is finite over  $K$  and note that  $\alpha, \beta$  are roots of  $X^2 - aX + b$  over  $L$ . So

$$[K(\alpha) : K] = [K(\alpha) : L][L : K] \leq 2[L : K] < \infty, [K(\beta) : K] = [K(\beta) : L][L : K] \leq 2[L : K] < \infty$$

and so they are algebraic over  $K$ .

6. Note that  $K[s]$  is a Euclidean domain. Suppose  $f = \frac{p}{q}$  is algebraic over  $K$  where  $p, q \in K[s]$  and we may assume  $p, q$  are coprime. Then we have

$$f^n + a_{n-1}f^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 = 0, a_i \in K, n \geq 1, a_0 \neq 0$$

and so  $p^n + a_{n-1}p^{n-1}q + \dots + a_0q^n = 0$  and we can rearrange this

$$p(p^{n-1} + \dots + a_1q) = -a_0q^n.$$

This implies  $p|a_0q^n$  and if  $p$  is not a constant function this would then imply  $p, q$  have a common factor, which is a contradiction. So  $p$  is constant. Similarly, since  $a_0 \neq 0$  we have

$$q(a_0q^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{n-1}p^{n-1}) = -p^n$$

so  $q|p^n$  and so  $q$  is constant. Therefore  $f$  is a constant.

7. To show  $L$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$ , we check that if  $\alpha, \beta$  are algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , so is  $\alpha + \beta, \alpha\beta$ . We have shown this in Question 5. Also if  $\alpha$  is algebraic then since  $K(\alpha) = K(-\alpha) = K(\frac{1}{\alpha})$  so  $-\alpha$  and  $\frac{1}{\alpha}$  are algebraic. Suppose  $[L : \mathbb{Q}]$  is finite then this implies every irreducible polynomial over  $\mathbb{Q}$  has bounded degree which is not true (it is easy to construct an irreducible polynomial of degree  $n$  using Eisenstein's criteria).

8. For each  $0 \neq \alpha \in L$ , it satisfies a minimal polynomial

$$\alpha^n + a_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 = 0, a_i \in K, a_0 \neq 0$$

and apply  $\psi$  we have

$$(\psi(\alpha))^n + a_{n-1}(\psi(\alpha^{n-1})) + \cdots + a_0 = 0.$$

Suppose  $\psi(\alpha) = 0$  then we must have  $a_0 = 0$  which is a contradiction. Therefore the kernel is trivial. Let  $\beta \in L$  so it is algebraic over  $K$  and let  $f$  be its minimal polynomial and  $\beta_1 = \beta, \beta_2, \dots$  be its conjugates in  $L$ . Then for each  $i$ ,  $\psi(\beta_i) = \beta_j$  for some  $j$ . But  $\psi$  is injective so  $\psi : \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots\} \rightarrow \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots\}$  is injective and hence surjective. In particular  $\psi(\beta_i) = \beta$  for some  $i$ . Therefore,  $\psi$  is bijective.

9.  $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$  as  $\{1, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{6}\}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis for  $L$ . The three non-trivial automorphisms are

$$\sigma : \sqrt{2} \mapsto -\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3} \mapsto \sqrt{3}, \gamma : \sqrt{2} \mapsto \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3} \mapsto -\sqrt{3}, \theta : \sqrt{2} \mapsto -\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3} \mapsto -\sqrt{3}.$$

It is Galois because the number of automorphism is equal to the degree of the extension.

10.  $g = (t-1)f = t^n - 1$  and so  $g(\mu) = 0$ . Since  $\mu - 1 \neq 0$  so  $f(\mu) = 0$  and since  $f$  is irreducible so it is the minimal polynomial of  $\mu$ . If  $\alpha$  is another root then  $\alpha$  has to satisfy  $\alpha^n - 1 = 0$  and  $\alpha \neq 1$  so  $\alpha$  is some power of  $\mu$  and since  $g$  is separable so  $f$  must be separable. Therefore the extension is Galois.

11. Define  $\phi : \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu)/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$  by  $\phi(\sigma_i) = i$  where  $\sigma_i$  sends  $\mu$  to  $\mu^i$  where  $(i, n) = 1$  (Note that each element in the Galois group is determined by the image of  $\mu$ ). So it is injective. If  $(j, n) = d > 1$  then  $\mu^j$  is a root of  $X^{\frac{n}{d}} - 1$  and so

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\mu^j) : \mathbb{Q}] \leq \frac{n}{d} < n - 1 = [\mathbb{Q}(\mu) : \mathbb{Q}] = \deg f$$

so  $\mu^j$  cannot be a root of  $f$ . Therefore, the roots of  $f$  are exactly the ones which are coprime to  $n$  and so the map  $\phi$  is bijective and it is clear that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism as  $\sigma_i\sigma_j(\mu) = \mu^{ij}$ .

12. (i)  $(t^2-2)(t^2-3) = 0$  so  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$  and  $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$ . (ii)  $t^8 - 1 = (t-1)(t+1)(t^2+1)(t^4+1)$ . So we need a root of  $t^4+1 = 0$ , say  $\alpha = \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}$  and so  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  and  $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$ . (iii)  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3, \sqrt[3]{2})$  so  $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = 6$ .

13. Let  $f = X^2 + aX + b$  and suppose  $f(x) = 0$  with  $x \in L$ . If  $f$  is separable then the other root of  $f$  is  $-a - x \in L$ . If  $f$  is inseparable then  $x \in L$  is the only root of  $f$  so in both cases  $L$  contains the splitting field of  $L$ .

14. Induction on  $n$ : This is clear for  $n = 1$ . Suppose this holds for  $n$ . If now we have  $f$  of degree  $n + 1$ . Let  $x$  be a root of  $f$  and write  $f = (X - x)g(X)$  where  $g$  has degree  $n$ . Let  $L$  be the splitting field of  $g$  and  $M$  be the splitting field of  $f$  then  $M = L(x)$ . It is clear that  $[M : L] = [L(x) : L] \leq [K(x) : K] = n + 1$ , using the result of Question 1 and by induction  $[L : K] \leq n!$ . Therefore by tower law  $[M : K] = [M : L][L : K] \leq (n + 1)n! = (n + 1)!$ .

15. Take any irreducible polynomial  $f$ . Suppose  $f$  is not separable then  $f(X) = g(X^p)$  for some  $g$  and write

$$g(X) = X^n + a_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0, a_i \in K, a_0 \neq 0$$

and by assumption for each  $i$  we have some  $b_i$  such that  $b_i^p = a_i$ . Then

$$f(X) = g(X^p) = X^{np} + a_{n-1}X^{(n-1)p} + \cdots + a_0 = (X^n + b_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \cdots + b_0)^p$$

which is reducible and this gives a contradiction.

16. This is a special case of the previous question. Let  $F$  be a finite field of size  $p^s$  (to see this it is clear that  $F$  contains a copy of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  where  $p$  is the characteristic of  $F$ ,  $p \neq 0$  as  $F$  is finite and then  $F$  is a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_p$  vector space so  $|F| = p^s$  for some  $s$ ). In particular the multiplicative group is cyclic (from part I B GRM) and has size  $p^s - 1$  which is prime to  $p$ , and so each element is a  $p$ th power.

17. Let  $f$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ . Suppose  $\alpha$  is inseparable then  $D(f) = 0$  so we must have

$$f = a_0 + a_1X^p + \cdots + a_mX^{mp}$$

for some  $m \geq 1$  so  $[K(\alpha) : K] = mp > m$ . On the other hand  $x^p$  satisfies the polynomial  $a_0 + a_1X + \cdots + a_mX^m$  so  $[K(\alpha^p) : K] \leq m$  so  $K(\alpha) \neq K(\alpha^p)$ . Conversely, if  $K(\alpha) \neq K(\alpha^p)$ . Let  $g$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $K(\alpha^p)$ . Since  $X^p - \alpha^p$  has  $\alpha$  as a root so  $g \mid X^p - \alpha^p = (X - \alpha)^p$  and so  $g = (X - \alpha)^t$  for some  $t \geq 1$  and  $\alpha^t \in K(\alpha^p)$ . If  $t < p$  then there exists  $s$  such that  $ts \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and hence  $x \in K(\alpha^p)$  which is a contradiction. So  $t = p$  and  $g = X^p - \alpha^p$ , which is irreducible and inseparable. As  $g \mid f$  so  $f$  is inseparable. In this case, we see that  $[K(x) : K(\alpha^p)] = \deg g = p$  so  $p \mid [K(x) : K]$  by tower law.

18.  $L/K$  is finite and hence algebraic.  $L/K$  is not separable so take an element  $\alpha$  which is not separable. Then by the previous question  $p \mid [K(\alpha) : K]$  and hence  $p \mid [L : K]$  by tower law.

19. Let  $F$  be a subfield of  $L$  which consists of all separable elements of  $K$ . We check  $F$  is a field and it suffices to check that if  $x, y$  are both separable, then so is  $x + y$  and  $xy$ , but this follows immediately from the fact that  $K(x + y), K(xy)$  are subfields of  $K(x, y)$ .

Let  $x \in L \setminus F$  and  $f$  be the minimal polynomial of  $x$  over  $K$ . Since  $f$  is inseparable if  $p$  is the characteristic of  $K$  ( $p > 0$ ) then we have  $f = f_1(X^p)$  for some polynomial  $f_1$  and  $\deg f_1 < \deg f$ . If  $f_1$  is inseparable we conclude  $f_1(X) = f_2(X^p)$  for some  $f_2$  and so on. We do this inductively and since  $\deg f_i < \deg f_{i-1}$  so eventually there exists  $j$  such that  $f_j$  is separable and so the roots of  $f_j$  are in  $F$ . But  $f_j(X^{p^j}) = f(X)$  and so  $x^{p^j}$  is a root of  $f_j(X)$  so  $x^{p^j} \in F$ . But  $x$  is a root of  $X^{p^j} - x^{p^j} = (X - p)^{p^j}$  and so  $f$  divides  $X^{p^j} - x^{p^j}$  and so  $f = (X - p)^t$  for some  $t$ . Since  $x \notin F$  we conclude  $t \geq 2$  and so  $f$  is inseparable.

If  $g$  is the minimal polynomial of  $x$  over  $F$  then  $g \mid f$  and so  $g$  is inseparable and this also shows that the minimal polynomial of  $x$  over  $F$  only has one root and therefore the number of  $F$ -homomorphism from  $L$  to any extension  $E$  is at most 1.

The extension is unique because if  $x \in L$  is separable but  $x \notin F$  then  $L/F$  cannot be purely inseparable as  $x$  is separable over  $K$  and hence over  $F$ . So  $F$  consists of exactly the separable elements over  $K$ .

20.  $L/K$  is finite and  $L/K$  is separable as they are subfields of  $\mathbb{C}$  which has characteristic zero. Then by primitive element theorem  $L = K(x)$  and if  $L \neq K$  then the minimal polynomial of  $x$  over  $K$  has at least two roots and so the number of homomorphism is at least 2.